

REMARKS

Summary of the Office Action

Claims 1-9, 11, 13, 14, 17-27 and 30-45 were pending in this application. Claims 30-32 were allowed. Claim 32 was objected to for an alleged informality.

Claims 1 and 4-9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Lebby et al. European Patent Publication No. EP 0798650 (hereinafter "Lebby") in view of Etoh U.S. Patent No. 5,729,289 (hereinafter "Etoh").

Claims 11, 13, 14, 17-19, 22, 25, 27, 33-42, 44 and 45 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Lebby.

Claims 2 and 3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Lebby in view of Etoh and further in view of Rowland U.S. Patent No. 5,801,970 (hereinafter "Rowland").

Claims 20, 21, 23 and 24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Lebby in view of Rowland.

Claims 26 and 43 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Lebby in view of Hornback WIPO Patent Publication WO 99/56463 (hereinafter "Hornback").

Summary of Telephonic Interview

The Examiner and the applicant's representative conducted a telephonic interview on October 29, 2008 in which the Reply filed on October 20, 2008 was discussed. As agreed upon during the interview, applicant hereby submits this reply to displace the previously-filed Reply. Applicant thanks the Examiner for his time and attention during the interview.

Applicant's Reply

Applicant has amended claim 30 to more particularly define the claimed invention. Applicant has amended claim 32 to correct the alleged informality.

Applicant gratefully acknowledges the allowance of claims 30-32. Solely in order to expedite prosecution, applicant has cancelled claims 1-9, 11, 13, 14, 17-27 and 33-45 without prejudice. Applicant reserves the right to pursue the subject matter of the cancelled claims in one or more continuation applications.

Applicant has added new dependent claims 46-51. Support for the newly added claims can be found in the originally-filed specification and drawings. No new subject matter has been added. Each of the new claims depends from allowed claim 30, and applicant submits that the newly added claims are patentable for at least this reason.

Conclusion

Applicant respectfully submits that this application is in condition for allowance. Prompt consideration and allowance of this application are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

/Richard Allison/

Richard G. Allison
Registration No. 60,386
Agent for Applicant
KRAMER LEVIN
NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
Customer No. 31013
1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
Tel.: (212) 715-9100